



1. All current State, Regional and National USAG Chairmen and all current State, Regional and National NAWGJ Directors are exempt from submitting an annual CPE Record.

2 ■

If a judge has not completed his/her CPE requirements by July 31st, they **SHOULD NOT BE ASSIGNED** to any USAG sanctioned competition until such time that they submit the completed annual CPE Form.

However, some states may experience difficulties in securing enough officials who are current with CPE requirements in order to staff a specific competition. If there is an absolute necessity to assign a judge who is delinquent in completing his/her CPE requirements, the judge may be assigned; however, that judge's compensation will be reduced by \$5.00 per hour.

Priorities for assignors after August 1:

1. Assign properly rated judges
 - First, those who have completed CPE requirements
 - Second, if not enough of the above are available, assign those who have not yet met their CPE requirements.
2. Only after you have exhausted all possibilities of the above, can you request permission from the RTC to allow a judge with one rating lower to be assigned. (This is fully explained in the *Rules and Policies*, page 65.)

3 ■

Individuals who have been active, certified judges in the past, but have voluntarily stopped judging are allowed to re-enter at the Accreditation level (or its equivalent) attained prior to becoming inactive. In order to re-enter, they must:

- a. Submit a letter of request to their respective Regional Technical Chairman stating the level at which they wish to re-enter (same as their last rating or a level lower).
- b. Prior to testing, they must earn the required clinic hours appropriate for the level at which they are re-entering. (10 hours for Level 10, 8hrs. for Level 9, and 6 hrs. for Level 5/6 or 7/8.)

4



If a judge who was eligible to test up in the 2005-2006 year has failed to pass the higher level exam four times, they may begin testing at that same level after August 1, 2006

Example, a previous Level 9 judge from the 2001-05 cycle attempted to pass the Level 10 test, taking four unsuccessful tries since July of 2005. She perhaps passed the Level 10 exam at a Level 8 rating and also has a Level 5/6. As of August 1, 2006 she may still take the Level 10 exam, even though she currently may have only a 5/6 or a 5/6 and 7/8 rating. Whatever her rating was in the immediately preceding cycle will determine at what level she is eligible to test in this new cycle.